

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,973	12/29/2003		Charles F. Kelty	3001.002	8829	
5179	7590	09/08/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PEACOCK P O BOX 20		, P.C.	PRINCE,	PRINCE, FRED G		
		M 87125-6927	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•				1724		

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/748,973	KELTY, CHARLES F.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Fred Prince	1724						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - External after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, if reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communic						
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	in 11 July 2005	•						
		☐ This action is non-final.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for		tters, prosecution as to the meri	ts is					
-	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the appli	ication.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) 18 is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,7,8 and 10-16</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) <u>4-6,9 and 17</u> is/are objected to.								
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.		•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
\ .	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).					
	The oath or declaration is objected to by								
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage	!					
* 0	application from the International I								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment	<i>y</i> _1								
Attachment 1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	، المعادم الم	0						
1) 🔀 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) 🗌 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO	o/SB/08) 5) D Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
, apei	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	 ·						

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by applicant's amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 7, 10, 12 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Gadgil et al. (US Pat No 5,780,860).

Gadgil et al. teach a tank portion (casing including 43, 46, and outlet casing) receiving water from a body of water, a skimmer chamber (55; col. 10, lines 46-53) within the tank portion receiving water from a weir (37), an ultraviolet light chamber (47, 53) within the tank portion, and a filter chamber (19) within the tank portion and a lid (27) mating to the tank portion.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,973

Art Unit: 1724

Regarding the lid being capable of reducing deformation of the tank portion by an environment, it is submitted that the recitation is one of intended use failing to add structure to the apparatus.

4. Claims 1-3, 7, 10, and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Teller et al. (US Pat No 4,021,347).

Teller et al. teach a tank portion (10) receiving water from a body of water, a skimmer chamber (including screen 34 and bowl 60) within the tank portion receiving water through a weir (23), an ultraviolet light chamber (including tube 80) within the tank portion, a filter chamber (36) within the tank portion and a lid (16) mating to the tank portion, and a pump chamber (including 56, 62).

Regarding the lid being capable of reducing deformation of the tank portion by an environment, it is submitted that the recitation is one of intended use failing to add structure to the apparatus. Accordingly, the recitation fails to patentably distinguish the instant invention over the prior art.

5. Claims 1-3, 10, and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jackson (US 2004/0094470).

Jackson teaches a tank portion (212,214) receiving water from a body of water through a weir (238), a skimmer chamber (264), an ultraviolet light chamber (250) within the tank portion, a filter chamber (228) within the tank portion, and a pump chamber (including 234).

Application/Control Number: 10/748,973 Page 4

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gadgil et al., Teller et al., or Jackson.

The primary references are described above. The primary references do not disclose that the lid portion is for reducing deformation, or that the lid has a coarse exterior surface or the slots for receiving a locking ring.

Per claim 8, it is submitted that making the exterior having a coarse surface is a matter of design, insufficient to patentably distinguish the instant invention over the prior art.

Per claim 11, it is submitted that it is conventional in the art to provide a bypass valve between a skimmer chamber and a pump chamber in order to, for example, control water flow through the skimmer (see, for example, US Pat No 4,454,035 to Stefan). Accordingly, it is submitted that it would have been readily obvious for the skilled artisan to have modified the invention of any of the primary references such that any one includes a bypass valve between a skimmer chamber and a pump chamber in order to, for example, control water flow through the skimmer.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 18 is allowed.

9. Claims 4-6, 9, and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Per claims 4-6, while claim 1 is not patentable for the reasons provided, and it is conventional in the art to utilize a cylindrical tank, in the examiner's opinion, the prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest the unit further including a neck extending from the tank with the neck comprising a weir faceplate assembly. The instant invention provides the advantage of adjustment of the effective neck width, or weir width, to compensate for variable water flow rates.

Per claim 9, while claim 1 is not patentable for the reasons provided above, in the examiner's opinion, the prior art fails to teach or render obvious instant invention. The instant invention provides secure means for attaching the ultraviolet light to the chamber.

Per claim 17, while claim 14 is not patentable for the reasons provided above, and it is known in the art to sense water levels within in a pump chamber (see GB 2353231), in the examiner's opinion, the prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest the method further including operating a bypass valve having the recited positioning and operational elements.

Per claim 18, while it is known in the art to provide a method of treating water including receiving water though a weir opening located at an upper portion of an upright tank;

Art Unit: 1724

skimming water with a skimmer basket located downstream and approximately beneath the weir opening (see, for example, US Pat No 4,022,690 to Smith) and it is known in the art to direct water to directing skimmed water to an ultraviolet light chamber within the same tank (see, for example, US Pat No 5,780,860 to Gadgil et al.), in the examiner's opinion, the prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest combining the known steps with a step of directing the skimmed water through a unidirectional port defined within a lower portion of a partitioning wall of the upright tank.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References are cited of interest to show the state of the art.
- 11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1724

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Prince whose telephone number is (571) 272-1165. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30-4:00; alt. Fridays 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724